1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California
2	Gregory J. Salute
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Desiree Tulleners
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 157464
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2578 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 2012-25
12	IMELDA ARANDIA TABIOS STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	7112 Woodman Avenue, #205 Van Nuys, CA 91405
14	Respondent.
15	
16	Complainant alleges:
17	<u>PARTIES</u>
18	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in
19	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about November 3, 2010, the Board of Registered Nursing (Board) received an
22	application for a Request for Reapply/Repeat Examination (Application) from Imelda Arandia
23	Tabios (Respondent). On or about October 29, 2010, Respondent certified under penalty of
24	perjury as to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application.
25	The Board denied the application on November 15, 2010.
26	111
27	111
28	111
	1

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 123 states, in pertinent part:

"It is a misdemeanor for any person to engage in any conduct which subverts or attempts to subvert any licensing examination or the administration of an examination, including, but not limited to:

. . . .

(b) Communicating with any other examinee during the administration of a licensing examination; copying answers from another examinee or permitting one's answers to be copied by another examinee; having in one's possession during the administration of the licensing examination any books, equipment, notes, written or printed materials, or data of any kind; other than the examination materials distributed, or otherwise authorized to be in one's possession during the examination; or impersonating any examinee or having an impersonator take the licensing examination on one's behalf.

Nothing in this section shall preclude prosecution under the authority provided for in any other provision of law.

In addition to any other penalties, a person found guilty of violating this section, shall be liable for the actual damages sustained by the agency administering the examination not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) and the costs of litigation.

(c) If any provision of this section or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the section that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this section are severable."

///

///

JUNE 22, 2010 NCLEX-RN EXAMINATION

- 11. Prior to June 22, 2010, Respondent took and did not pass the NCLEX-RN examinations for the following dates: September 7, 2006, September 15, 2008, and October 3, 2008.
- 12. On or about June 22, 2010, Respondent applied and took the NCLEX-RN examination at the Pearson Professional Center in Pasadena, California. While the examination had already commenced and was in progress, Respondent was observed reading notes in the ladies' restroom during a scheduled break.
- 13. On or about June 25, 2010, NCSBN sent a letter to Respondent advising her that she had violated the examination rules, and that her examination results would be cancelled unless she appealed.
- 14. On or about July 23, 2010, Respondent appealed the decision to NCSBN's Chief Operating Officer (COO). The COO denied Respondent's appeal on or about August 3, 2010.
- 15. On or about August 18, 2010, NCSBN sent a letter to Respondent advising her of the decision, and that her examination results would be cancelled unless she appealed.
- 16. On or about October 5, 2010, Respondent informed NCSBN of her election not to appeal the final decision to cancel her examination results.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts of Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

17. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that, on or about June 22, 2010, Respondent committed an act of dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself, when she accessed and/or possessed study materials in the ladies' room after the NCLEX-RN examination had commenced. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 7 through 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

///

1//

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Subversion of Examination)

18. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 496 and 123, subdivision (b), in that, on or about June 22, 2010, Respondent subverted the NCLEX-RN examination by having in her possession during the administration of the licensing examination books, equipment, data, notes, written or printed materials, other than the examination materials distributed, or otherwise authorized to be in her possession during the examination. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 7 through 16, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the Request for Reapply/Repeat Examination of Imelda Arandia Tabios; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 7-13-11

LOVISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN

Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

DAT rev. 6/30/11